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Article 27

DSCC wants to remind States that the environmental performance guarantee, mentioned in para 1 of art 27, is only applicable to closure, not to mining itself.

Tuesday afternoon

DSCC welcomes this discussion, the important contribution of the AG and the subsequent discussion.
We spoke about the failure to undertake a study of the valuation of the deep sea and all associated costs, and the agreement in principle reached in the March meeting.
We simply want to underline the necessity of finalising the agreement on the commissioning of this report sooner rather than later and in a transparent way.

We welcome this discussion, the important contribution of the AG and the issues it raises of equity and fairness and the subsequent discussion.
We spoke about the failure to undertake a study of the valuation of the deep sea and all associated costs, and the agreement in principle reached in the March meeting.
We simply want to underline the necessity of finalising the agreement on the commissioning of this report sooner rather than later and in a transparent way.
We also welcome the news from Nauru that the contract be renegotiated, and suggest that Nauru reconsiders sponsoring NORI in light of the difficulties and global anxiety that the 2 year rule trigger has caused. We appreciate Nauru's position as a developing State and this could be an important opportunity to move forward in partnership and solidarity.
We do want to add that We don't accept Nauru's argument that a renewable future requires DSM minerals. A lot of material on our website refutes that.